

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,277	03.	/12/2004	Thomas M. McGrath	3176	1331
26822	7590	12/01/2006		EXAMINER	
WALTER			HUSON, MONICA ANNE		
2372 S.E. B. NEWPORT		A 92660-0755		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1732	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
-		10/800,277	MCGRATH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Monica A. Huson	1732			
D: 1 f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •	VIO OFT TO EVOIDE OF	AONTHON OF THEFTY (20) PANO			
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 S	eptember 2006.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 13-16 is/are pending in the application	n.	•			
-/	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)[_]	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 13-16 is/are rejected.		•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2004 is/are:		jected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	y(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	received in this National Stage			
* (application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received			
•	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	receiveu.			
Attachmen	at(s)					
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date : Informal Patent Application			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/800,277

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the Amendment filed 6 September 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Firestone et al. (U.S. Patent 5,799,837), in view of Forte et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2001/0048988). Firestone et al., hereafter "Firestone," show that it is known to carry out a method of forming a bottle useful as a pharmaceutical container and dispenser (Column 1, lines 31-38; Column 5, lines 28-31) comprising providing a mixture of polypropylene resin comprising UV absorbers (i.e. blockers) (Column 2, lines 51-54; Column 9, lines 12-13), and forming the heated UV absorbent mixture into a cylindrical squeezable bottle having a thickness of between 0.5mm and about 2mm (Column 3, lines 17-21) with a volume of 10 cc (Column 2, lines 60-61; 10mL = 10cc); disposing an ophthalmic pharmaceutical formulation into said bottle (Column 4, lines 66-67; Column 5, lines 1-11; Column 10, lines 63-64; It is being interpreted that chlorine dioxide is a known ingredient in ophthalmic pharmaceutical formulations.); and sealing the bottle (Column 12, lines 1-3). Firestone does not specifically show first, second, and third sets of resin pellets, or providing dyestuffs to the pellets. Forte et al., hereafter "Forte," show that it is known to carry out a method of making a bottle useful as a pharmaceutical container

Application/Control Number: 10/800,277

Art Unit: 1732

(Para 0008), including providing combinations of polypropylenes which contain dyes and UV absorbers (i.e. blockers) (Para 0015-0017; It is known in the art that individual blends of molding material, in this case, polypropylenes, will originate in pellet form, including various desired additives such as the claimed dyestuffs and UV blockers. In order to form the final molding material, the different pellet mixtures will be mixed together to form a masterbatch.), heating said UV blocker final mixture (Para 0024), and forming the bottle (Para 0027). Forte and Firestone are combinable because they are concerned with a similar technical field, namely, methods of forming pharmaceutical bottles. It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Forte's teaching of using several blends or alloys which include dyes in the final molding composition which is molded by Firestone's general molding method in order to provide the desired tinting or UV protection factor for the molded article (see Forte, Para 0016-0017).

Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Firestone and Forte, further in view of Arakawa et al (6039893).

Regarding claim 14, Forte et al do not teach providing the second resin pellets comprising blue dye and purple dye pellets. However, Arakawa et al teach that bluish-purple and blue dye show absorption bands from 550 nm to 620 nm (col 1lines 45-47). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Forte's method of making pharmaceutical container to have the blue and purple dye. One would have been motivated to do so in order to create the blue tint taught by Forte et al which must absorb light at wavelengths greater than 500 nm and less than 420 nm.

Regarding claims 15 and 16, Forte et al do not explicitly teach the ratios disclosed. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would know that mixing various proportions of dyestuff would produce a different end result such as

Art Unit: 1732

light barrier property. Cook et al give the support for above. Cook et al teach that the amount of yellow or black dye included in multi-layered wall material can be varied depending upon the desired light barrier characteristics for a container produced (col 6 lines 40-55). This establishes that the proportions of dyestuff is a result effective variable. Therefore the examiner notes that discovering the optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. "In re Boesch," 617F.2d 272,205 USPQ215 (COPA 1980). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to determine the claimed ratios in claims 15 and 16 in order to produce a blue tint (a result effective variable) for the final product.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,277 Page 5

Art Unit: 1732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica A. Huson whose telephone number is 571-272-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Monica A Huson

November 27, 2006

CHRISTINA JOHNSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

110104